

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/510,378 02/22/2000		02/22/2000	Maureen T. Cronin	18547-004131US	3064
33494	7590	06/26/2003			
		TOWNSEND AT	EXAMINER		
TWO EME 8TH FLOC		RO CENTER	MARSCHEL, ARDIN H		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1631 DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	22

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/510,378

Applicant(s)

Cronin et al.

Examiner

Ardin Marschel

Art Unit 1631



Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time why servible where the provision of 37 CFR 1.18 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (b) MONTH(S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for expl specified above is least than thinly (30) days, a reply within the estationty maintenant of thirty, (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for exply within the very specified above is least than thirty (30) days, a reply within the estationty maintenant of thirty, (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for exply within the very specified above is least than thirty (30) days, a reply within the estation of thirty, (30) days, a reply within the estation of the period of the p		The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with th	ne correspondence address
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be switched with the provisions of 37 CR 11.30 lab. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after \$13 (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. If the period for reply as specified above is less than thicty (30) days, a reply within the assturicy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will apply and will apply 30 (MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will apply 30 (MONTHS from the malling date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any search parted to the communication of the period of the pe		• •		_	
melling date of this communication. If the peeriod repsy trapelling date of this continuity (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply it specified above, the enactment statutory pointed will exply and 40 outpet \$10.00 MR/ITS from the melling date of this communication. If NO period for reply it specified above, the enactment statutory pointed will exply a distribution to be become \$ANDADOM (DS 10.5.C.) \$1.00 MR/ITS from the melling date of the communication, even if timely field, may reduce any search application is provided by the Office store than three months after the melling date of the communication, even if timely field, may reduce any search application is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3i Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Of the above, claim(s) \$59-81 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above, claim(s) \$59-81 is/are allowed. is/are allowed. is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \$2-84 and 88-91 is/are objected to sis/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The grawing(s) filed on Feb 22, 2000 is/are all accepted or to the objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on Feb 22, 2000 is/are all accepted or to the objected to by the Examiner. 11) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(al-(d) or (f). a) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(al-(d) or (f). a) Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17, 2(a)). *Coe the attached detailed Office action for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Wh	THE N	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			-
H NO paried for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will apply appl	mailing	date of this communication.		, , ,	
1)	- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date	ply and will expire SIX (se the application to be	(6) MONTHS scome ABAN	S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 59-94				•	
3] ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4] ☒ Claim(s) \$\frac{59-94}{59-81}\$ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \$\frac{59-81}{59-81}\$ is/are withdrawn from consideratio 5] ☐ Claim(s) \$\frac{82-84 and 88-91}{59-94}\$ is/are allowed. 6] ☒ Claim(s) \$\frac{82-84 and 92-94}{59-94}\$ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9] ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on \$\frac{Feb 22, 2000}{59-960}\$ is/are a.☐ accepted or b.☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \$\frac{Feb 22, 2000}{59-960}\$ is: a.☐ approved b.☐ disapproved by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$\frac{9}{5}\$ 119 and 120 13.☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$\frac{5}{5}\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c.☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14.☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. \$\frac{9}{5}\$ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 ☒ Notice of Portaparson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 13, 2	2003		<u> </u>
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 59-94	2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.		
Solution	3) 🗆		•		•
salare withdrawn from consideration salare withdrawn from consideration salare allowed.	Disposit	tion of Claims			
Sizare allowed. Sizare allowed. Sizare rejected. Sizare rejected. Sizare rejected. Sizare objected to. Sizare objected to personal papers Sizare objected to by the Examiner. Sizare objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Sizare objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Sizare objected to objected to by the Examiner. Sizare objected to objected objected objected to objected to objected to objected objected objected objected to objected to objected to objected to objected objec	4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>59-94</u>			is/are pending in the application.
is/are rejected. is/are objected to. is/are objected to part of the certification is objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing(s) filed on	4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>59-81</u>			is/are withdrawn from consideratio
Solid Sol	5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.
are subject to restriction and/or election requirement Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filled on	6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>82-84 and 88-91</u>			is/are rejected.
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed onFeb 22, 2000 is/are a \begin{align*} accepted or b \begin{align*} objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a \begin{align*} approved b \begin{align*} disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Beferences Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) 💢	Claim(s) <u>85-87 and 92-94</u>			is/are objected to.
9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on feb_ 22, 2000 is/are a ☐ accepted or b ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a ☐ approved b ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8) 💢	Claims <u>59-94</u>	a	re subjec	ct to restriction and/or election requirement
The drawing(s) filed on	Applica	tion Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a pproved b disapproved by the Examine If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e)	9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			l
The proposed drawing correction filed on	10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on Feb 22, 2000 is/ar	e a accepte	d or box	objected to by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be held	i in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	:aD a₁	pproved by disapproved by the Examine
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office acti	on.	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.		ı
a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					ı
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			riority under 35	U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			1
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	1.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	in Applic	cation No
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	-
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			·		
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			priority under 3	5 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_		4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-4	413) Paper No(s).
			<u> </u>		
	3) 🗌 Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			

The art unit designated for this application has changed.

Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1631.

Applicants' arguments, filed 3/13/03, have been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive to overcome previous rejections of record. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Upon reconsideration the following rejections and/or objections are newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

DRAWINGS

Applicant is hereby notified that the required timing for the correction of drawings has changed. See the last 6 lines on the sheet which is attached entitled "Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01 or earlier)". It is noted that a PTO Form 948 was mailed with Paper No. 6, mailed 4/23/01. Due to the above notification Applicant is required to submit drawing corrections within the time period set for responding to this Office action. Failure to respond to this requirement may result in abandonment of the instant application or a notice of a failure to fully respond to this Office action.

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under

Art Unit: 1631

this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 82-84 and 88-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and (e)(2) as being clearly anticipated by Mundy (P/N 4,656,127).

It is firstly noted that probe sets in claims 82 etc. comprise a plurality of probes but broadly without any indication of whether said plurality or probes are identical or different within each set. Mundy describes a probe example as Example 2 starting in column 10, line 45, wherein two linearized plasmids were spotted in a grid pattern on a nitrocellulose membrane. These two linearized probes sample contain 50 ng each which clearly comprise a plurality, albeit identical, of probes in each

probe set preparation. This plasmid spotted membrane disclosure in the reference teach the array limitations of instant claim 82. In column 10, lines 54-60, these plasmids are described as being different at position 1649 wherein plasmid pAT153 has A-T at said position whereas plasmid pBR322 has G-C at that position. interrogation positions are the result of a segment in pBR322 which is lacking in pAT153 of length 2353-1649 of 704 bases. remainder of each plasmid is identical thus serving as the reference sequence as instantly claimed. The position 1649 difference between these plasmids is only the first base of the 704 base difference between the two plasmids thus making an actual number of interrogation positions of 704. This meets the claim limitation in claim 82 wherein the second probe set must have "at least 3 contiguous nucleotides" as probe differences or interrogation positions. It is noted that instant claim 82 is not limited as to the upper number of interrogation positions. In column 11, lines 17-23, a partial target sequence segment of positions 1629-1648 was synthesized and expected to hybridize to both plasmid nucleic acids as described therein. Various polymerase, nucleotide, and exonuclease make various targets as summarized in hybridization reactions in column 12, lines 23-61, wherein the presence of exact matching target sequence versus not was detected via autoradiography. This methodology anticipates the above listed instant claims.

Claims 85-87 and 92-94 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

June 25, 2003

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER